




IPA Prekogranični program  
Srbija – Bosna i Hercegovina

Proces evaluacije projekata





## ZAJEDNIČKI EVALUACIONI ODBOR



SPOLJNI OCENJIVAČI



# KORAK 1

- **Otvaranje aplikacija**
- **Administrativna provera aplikacija (Check list)**
- **Eventualni zahtevi za pojašnjenjima**

**APLIKACIJE KOJE BUDU U SKLADU SA PRAVILIMA NAVEDENIM U SMERNICAMA  
KVALIFIKUJU SE ZA OCENJIVANJE SAŽETKA PROJEKTA**



# KORAK 1

## OCENJIVANJE SAŽETKA PROJEKTA

1. Relevance of the action	Sub-score	30
1.1. How relevant is the proposal to the objectives and priorities of the Call for Proposals?	5x2*	
1.2 How relevant to the particular needs and constraints of the target <u>country(ies)</u> or region(s) is the proposal (including synergy with other EU initiatives and avoidance of duplication)? Is the proposed action likely to have a clear cross-border benefit/impact?	5x2*	
1.3 How clearly defined and strategically chosen are those involved (final beneficiaries, target groups)? Have their needs been clearly defined and does the proposal address them appropriately?	5	
1.4 Does the proposal contain specific added-value elements, such as environmental issues, promotion of gender equality and equal opportunities, needs of disabled people and rights of minorities [and the other additional elements indicated under 1.2. of these Guidelines]	5	
2. Design of the action	Sub-score	20
2.1 How coherent is the overall design of the action?  In particular, does it reflect the analysis of the problems <u>involved</u> , take into account external factors and relevant stakeholders?	5x2**	
2.2 Is the action feasible and consistent in relation to the objectives and expected results?	5x2**	

**TOTAL SCORE 50**

**RELEVANTNOST**

**DIZAJN INTERVENCIJE**



# KORAK 1

## OCENJIVANJE SAŽETKA PROJEKTA

- Samo aplikacije koje imaju 30 i više bodova se mogu kvalifikovati za dalju fazu ocenjivanje
- Broj aplikacija će biti smanjen na nivo da budžeti najbolje ocenjenih zahtevaju 300% od ukupnog raspoloživog budžeta (10.800.000)



# KORAK 2

## OCENJIVANJE PUNE APLIKACIJE

Section	Maximum Score
<b>1. Financial and operational capacity</b>	<b>20</b>
1.1 Do the applicants and, if applicable, their affiliated <u>entity(ies)</u> have sufficient experience of project management?	5
1.2 Do the applicants and, if applicable, their affiliated <u>entity(ies)</u> have sufficient technical expertise? (especially knowledge of the issues to be addressed)	5
1.3 Do the applicants and, if applicable, their affiliated <u>entity(ies)</u> have sufficient management capacity? (Including staff, equipment and ability to handle the budget for the action)?	5
1.4 Does the lead applicant have stable and sufficient sources of finance?	5
<b>2. Relevance of the action</b>	<b>30</b>
<i>Score transferred from the Concept Note evaluation</i>	

**KAPACITETI  
(minimum 12  
bodova)**

**BODOVI SE  
PRENOSE**



# KORAK 2

## OCENJIVANJE PUNE APLIKACIJE

<b>3. Effectiveness and feasibility of the action</b>	<b>20</b>
3.1 Are the activities proposed appropriate, practical, and consistent with the objectives and expected results?	5
3.2 Is the action plan clear and feasible?	5
3.3 Does the proposal contain objectively verifiable indicators for the outcome of the action? Is any evaluation planned?	5
3.4 Is the co-applicant(s)'s and a affiliated entity(ies)'s level of involvement and participation in the action satisfactory taking into account 4 elements of cross-border cooperation (joint development, joint implementation, joint staffing and joint financing)?	5
<b>4. Sustainability of the action</b>	<b>15</b>
4.1 Is the action likely to have a tangible impact on its target groups?	5
4.2 Is the proposal likely to have multiplier effects? (Including scope for replication, extension and information sharing.)	5
4.3 Are the expected results of the proposed action sustainable? - <b>financially</b> (how will the activities be financed after the funding ends?) - <b>institutionally</b> (will structures allowing the activities to continue be in place at the end of the action? Will there be local 'ownership' of the results of the action?) - <b>at policy level</b> (where applicable) (what will be the structural impact of the action — e.g. will it lead to improved legislation, codes of conduct, methods, etc?) - <b>environmentally</b> (if applicable) (will the action have a negative/positive environmental impact?)	5
<b>5. Budget and cost-effectiveness of the action</b>	<b>15</b>
5.1 Are the activities appropriately reflected in the budget?	5
5.2 Is the ratio between the estimated costs and the expected results satisfactory?	10

→ Efektivnost i izvodljivost intervencije (20 bodova)

→ Održivost(15 bodova)

→ Budžet(15 bodova)



# KORAK 2

## OCENJIVANJE PUNE APLIKACIJE

- Ukupno 100 bodova
- Konačna rang lista





# KORAK 3

## PROVERA PRIHVATLJIVOSTI

- **Mogući zahtevi za dodatnom dokumentacijom**
- **Moguća promena ranga liste (usled neprihvatljivosti određenih projekata)**



**HVALA NA PAŽNJI I PUNO  
USPEHA**